

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,450	12/14/2001	Guy Michael Miller	346392000900	1698
75	90 05/08/2002			
Gladys H. Monroy			EXAMINER	
Morrison & Foerster LLP 755 Page Mill Road			SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G	
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
		DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/020,450

Applicant(s)

Miller et al.

Examiner

Phyllis Spivack

Art Unit 1614



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____ 2b) X This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-57 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) ______ is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) 1-57 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/020450 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

The undersigned Examiner supports the goal of the Office to advance prosecution as expediently as is reasonably possible. Cooperation is requested with respect to the timely submission of any references deemed pertinent to the present application along with Form PTO-1449.

Claims 1-57 are presented.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wechter, W.J., U.S. Patent No. 6,048,891.

Wechter teaches the administration of beta, delta and gamma tocopherol or the metabolite, 2,7,8-trimethyl-2-(β-carboxyethyl)-6-hydroxychroman, to treat thromboembolic disease, reperfusion injury or ischemic conditions and to prevent neuropathological lesions. See column 34, lines 39-61. Wechter discloses therapeutic concentrations of non-alpha tocopherols that overlap with those presently recited in claims 15-50. Wechter fails to focus on symptoms of a cerebral ischemic conditions, but rather provides a more general teaching of treating thromboembolic diseases. However, one skilled in the neurology art would have been motivated to administer a non-alpha tocopherol to treat cerebral ischemic conditions in view of the teachings of Wechter. Such would have been obvious in the absence of evidence to the contrary because

Application/Control Number: 10/020450 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

non-alpha tocopherols, in particular gamma tocopherol, exhibit antioxidant efficacy in inhibiting low density lipoprotein oxidation, platelet aggregation and arterial thrombogenesis. It would have reasonable to expect treatment of thromboembolic conditions to result in ameliorating the symptoms of cerebral ischemia.

Claims 1-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chabrier et al., WO 98/09653.

Chabrier teaches the administration of various derivatives of tocopherol, as β -, γ - or δ -tocopherol, to treat neurodegenerative conditions as cerebral infarction. See page 1, line 16, and page 6, lines 18-19. Infarction leads to ischemia. The claims differ in that Chabrier's teaching includes the administration of other active principles in addition to the recited tocopherols. However, one skilled in the neurology art would have been motivated to administer non-alpha tocopherols to treat cerebral ischemic conditions in view of Chabrier's disclosure. Such would have been obvious in the absence of evidence to the contrary because these tocopherols are taught to be oxygen reactive form trapping substances that are effective in the treatment of cerebral infarction. The open language of the present claims does not preclude the addition of any of a number of other active agents. The selection of optimal concentrations or particular metabolites of non-alpha tocopherols are parameters well within the purview of those skilled in the art through no more than routine experimentation.

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/020450

Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

Jiang et al., <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u>, is cited to show further the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Phyllis Spivack at telephone number (703) 308-4703.

May 3, 2002

Myllis Spwack